PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	15	SEP 2005	
WIPO		PC	F

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

PSD43355PCT1	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION · S	e Form PCT/IPEA/416	
ternational application No.	International filing date (day 25.03.2004	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.03.2003	
nternational Patent Classification (1	IPC) or national classification and IPC			
Applicant NOKIA CORPORATION et a	al			
Authority under Article 35	and transmitted to the applicant a	(CCOlding to Atticle Co	International Preliminary Examining .	
2. This REPORT consists of	f a total of 12 sheets, including thi	s cover sheet.		
This report is also accom	panied by ANNEXES, comprising:	•		
- Cont to the applica	ant and to the International Bureau	i) a total of sheets, a	s follows:	
☐ sheets of the and/or sheets	description, claims and/or drawing containing rectifications authorize e Instructions).	s which have been ared by this Authority (se	nended and are the basis of this report to the Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
beyond the di	isclosure in the international application is applicated and the contraction is applicated as the c	eation as filed, as fridit	ders contain an amendment that goes cated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
liadina a	national Bureau only) a total of (indicand/or tables related thereto, in consequence Listing (see Section 802	TRIBLE LEAGADIE IOITI	r of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental Instructions).	
4 This report contains indi	cations relating to the following ite			
4. This report contains mu			•	
		.,,,,,,	•	
⊠ Box No. I Basis	of the opinion		•	
⊠ Box No. I Basis ⊠ Box No. II Priority	of the opinion v		step and industrial applicability	
☑ Box No. I Basis☑ Box No. II Priority☐ Box No. III Non-e	of the opinion y establishment of opinion with regar		step and industrial applicability	
 ☑ Box No. I Basis ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-e ☐ Box No. IV Lack of Box No. IV 	of the opinion v	d to novelty, inventive with regard to novelt	, inventive step or industrial	
 ☑ Box No. I Basis ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-e ☐ Box No. IV Lack of applic 	of the opinion y establishment of opinion with regard of unity of invention and statement under Article 35(2)	d to novelty, inventive with regard to novelt	, inventive step or industrial	
 ☑ Box No. I ☑ Box No. II ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Certain 	of the opinion y establishment of opinion with regard of unity of invention oned statement under Article 35(2) eability; citations and explanations	d to novelty, inventive with regard to novelt supporting such state	, inventive step or industrial	
 ☑ Box No. II ☑ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Certain ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Certain 	of the opinion y stablishment of opinion with regard of unity of invention oned statement under Article 35(2) ability; citations and explanations sin documents cited	d to novelty, inventive with regard to novelt supporting such state cation	, inventive step or industrial	
 Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Certain Box No. VIII Certain Box No. VIII Certain Box No. VIII Certain Certain Box No. VIII 	of the opinion y establishment of opinion with regard of unity of invention oned statement under Article 35(2) eability; citations and explanations in in documents cited in defects in the international appli- in observations on the international	d to novelty, inventive with regard to novelt supporting such state cation	y, inventive step or industrial ment	
 ☑ Box No. II ☑ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Certain ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Certain 	of the opinion y establishment of opinion with regard of unity of invention oned statement under Article 35(2) eability; citations and explanations in in documents cited in defects in the international appli- in observations on the international	d to novelty, inventive with regard to novelt supporting such state cation	y, inventive step or industrial ment	
 Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Certain Box No. VIII Certain Box No. VIII Certain Box No. VIII Certain Certain Box No. VIII 	of the opinion y establishment of opinion with regard of unity of invention oned statement under Article 35(2) eability; citations and explanations in in documents cited in defects in the international appli- in observations on the international	d to novelty, inventive with regard to novelt supporting such state cation	y, inventive step or industrial ment	
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-e Box No. IV Lack of Box No. V Reason application Box No. VI Certain Box No. VII Certain Box No. VIII Certain Box No. VIII Certain	of the opinion y establishment of opinion with regard of unity of invention oned statement under Article 35(2) eability; citations and explanations in in documents cited in defects in the international application observations on the international	d to novelty, inventive with regard to novelty supporting such state cation al application	y, Inventive step or industrial ment	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001077

	•		.		
***	Box No. I Basis of the report				
	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	\square This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
	 □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 				
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheet have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
	Description, Pages				
	1-19	as published			
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-25	as published			
	Drawings, Sheets				
	1/4-4/4	as published			
	□ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.	. The amendments have res	ulted in the cancellation of:			
	☐ the description, pages				
	☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig	S .			
	The sequence listing (sp	ecify):			
	any table(s) related to s		.,		
4	4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.				
	☐ the drawings, sheets/fig	S			
	☐ the sequence listing (s)☐ any table(s) related to s	sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :			
		some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT **ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001077

							•
			•				
	Box No.						
1.	prese □ ce □ tr	cribed time limit to opy of the earlier anslation of the e	he requested: application who earlier application	se priority n whose p	had been claimed of has been claimed (Friority has been claim	Rule 66.7(a)). ned (Rule 66.7(b)).	
2.	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3.	Additiona	al observations, i	necessary:		• • •		
		V D	etetement unde	ar Article	35(2) with regard to	novelty, inventive	e step or industrial
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
_ 1.							
t d			Yes:	Claims	5, 11, 15, 22, 23		
	Novelty	(N)	No:	Claims	1-4, 6-10, 12-14,	16-21, 24, 25	
		n aton (IS)	Yes.	Claims			

Yes: Claims Inventive step (IS)

5, 11, 15, 22, 23 Claims No:

1-25 Yes: Claims Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item II Priority

- 1. The present application PCT/IB04/01077 claims priority from the application GB0306840.0 filed 25.03.2003 and published 29.09.2004 as UK Patent Application GB2399986.
 - However, GB0306840.0 is not the first application of the applicant for the subject-matter of claims 1, 7, 13, 17, 19 because PCT/FI2003/000047 filed 21.01.2003 and published as WO2004/066652 discloses:
 - a method of operating a receiver, the method comprising (see figure 7): decoding transmission parameter information from a signal (see page 5, lines 1-5; page 8, lines 12-14; page 17, line 34 to page 18, line 4); and determining from the decoded transmission parameter information if the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams (see page 5, lines 5-8; page 9, lines 10-23; page 11, lines 7-12; page 18, lines 4-8).
 - a receiver (see figure 5) arranged to operate in a network (see page 14, line 26 to page 15, line 9), the receiver comprising: a decoder for decoding transmission parameter information from a signal (see page 8, lines 12-14; page 16, lines 28-36); and a determiner for determining from decoded transmission parameter information if the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams (see page 9, lines 10-23; page 11, lines 7-12; page 16, line 36 to page 17, line 8).
 - a method of forming a signal for transmission, the method comprising: creating service information (see page 6, lines 1-10); creating transmission parameter information including an indication of whether the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams (see page 6, lines 11-15; page 9, lines 10-23); and including the service information on one level (see page 6, lines 1-15) with the transmission parameter on a lower level to form the signal (see "TPS" on page 8, lines 12-14).
 - an apparatus for forming a signal for transmission (see page 8, lines 1-11),

the apparatus being arranged for creating service information (see page 6, lines 1-10), for creating transmission parameter including an indication of whether the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams (see page 6, lines 11-15; page 9, lines 10-23), and for including the service information on one level (see page 6, lines 1-15) with the transmission parameter information on a lower level to form the signal (see "TPS" on page 8, lines 12-14).

- a transmission parameter signalling data signal comprising a predetermined number of data bits defined over consecutive orthogonal frequency division multiplex symbols (remark: OFDM is implicitly defined by the application of the DVB standard as described on page 4, lines 17-25), the data signal comprising at a predetermined location a group of one or more information bits (see page 10, line 5 to page 14, line 12) having a state dependent on whether a signal to which the data signal relates carries time-sliced elementary streams (see page 9, lines 10-23; page 11, lines 7-12).

Thus the application PCT/IB04/01077 filed 25.03.2004 is not the first application of the applicant for the subject-matter of claims 1, 7, 13, 17, 19. Therefore the claimed priority from PCT/IB04/01077 filed 25.03.2004 is not valid for independent claims 1, 7, 13, 17, 19 (Article 8 PCT, Article 4C of the Stockholm Act of Paris Convention).

- 2. The additional features of dependent claims 2-4, 6, 8-10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25 are also disclosed in the application PCT/FI2003/000047 filed 21.01.2003 and published as WO2004/066652:
 - claims 2, 8: see page 5, lines 5-8; lines 11-21; page 18, lines 13-27;
 - claims 3, 12, 16, 18, 20: see page 5, lines 22-23; page 5, line 35 to page 6, line 10: page 7, lines 10-15; page 8, lines 12-14;
 - claims 4, 10, 14, 21: see page 8, lines 5-7; lines 12-14;
 - claims 6, 9: see page 6, lines 1-15 combined with "TPS" on page 8, lines 12-14;
 - claims 24, 25: see argumentation and citations of claims 19-21;

Thus the application PCT/IB2003/005639 filed 28.11.2003 is not the first application of the applicant for the subject-matter of dependent claims 2-4, 6, 8-10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25. Therefore the claimed priority from PCT/IB2003/005639 filed 28.11.2003 is not valid for dependent claims 2-4, 6, 8-10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 21, 24,

25 (Article 8 PCT, Article 4C of the Stockholm Act of Paris Convention).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The following documents were introduced by the examiner:

D1: WO2004/066652 (NOKIA CORPORATION) 05.08.2004

D2: Ahmavaara K., Jolma P. and Raivio Y.:

"Broadcast and Multicast Services in Mobile Networks", Nokia Networks,
Published first: XVIII World Telecommunications Congress 2002

(WTC 2002), Paris, France, September 22-27, 2002

D3: Jani Väre and Matti Puputti, Nokia Ventures Organization:

"Soft Handover in Terrestrial Broadcast Networks",

Published first: IEEE International Conference on Mobile Data Management,

Berkeley, California, USA, January 19-22.2004

D4: EP1071221 (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO. LTD.) 24.01.2001

- Novelty objections based on document D1:
 Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, 16-21, 24, 25 are not new, Article 33(2) PCT.
 For the reasons see the prior art of D1 in above paragraphs of Re Item II Priority.
- 3. Novelty and inventive step objections based on document D2:
- 3.1 Claim 1:

Document **D2** discloses a method of operating a receiver, the method comprising: decoding transmission parameter information from a signal; and determining from the decoded transmission parameter information if the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams (see section *"Time slicing"* in paragraph 3.4;

paragraphs 4.2 & 4.4; "Streaming delivery" in figure 4).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new, Article 33(2) PCT.

3.2 The same considerations as made for **claim 1** also apply to **claim 7**, since **claim 7** represents the equivalent subject-matter in terms of an apparatus claim.

Therefore the subject-matter of claim 7 is not new, Article 33(2) PCT.

3.3 Dependent claims 2, 8:

Dependent claims 2, 8 do not add anything of inventive significance to claims 1 and 7. According to D2 the receiver has to be ON only during the burst of the received service. The rest of the time the receiver can be OFF enabling power saving by disregarding the signal in the event of a negative determination (see section "Time slicing" in paragraph 3.4).

The subject-matter of claims 2, 8 does therefore not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

3.4 Dependent claims 3, 5, 11, 12:

Dependent claims 3, 5, 11, 12 do also not add anything of inventive significance to claims 1 and 7 for the reason that their subject-matter is directly derivable from the disclosure of document D2:

- claims 3, 12: see paragraphs 3.3 & 4.1;
- claims 5, 11: see paragraph 4.4;

The subject-matter of claims 3, 5, 11, 12 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

3.5 Dependent claims 4, 10:

Dependent claims 4, 10 do not add anything of inventive significance to the claims they refer to for the reason that their subject-matter represents minor design modifications which are obvious to the skilled person.

The subject-matter of claims 4, 10 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

4. Novelty and inventive step objections based on document D3:

Due to the fact that the priority for claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, 16-21, 24, 25 has been found invalid, document D3 (published after the indicated priority date, but before the filing date) constitutes prior art in accordance with Rule 64.1(b)(l) PCT.

4.1 Claim 1:

Document **D3** discloses a method of operating a receiver, the method comprising: decoding transmitting transmission parameter information from a signal; and determining from the decoded transmitted transmission parameter information if the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams (see paragraph 1, last two sections; paragraph 3).

Although **D3** does not explicitly refer to decoding the transmitted parameter information it is obvious for the skilled person that the signalling data must be decoded in the receiver. It is also obvious that power saving can only become effective when the decoded information is used to determine the time-sliced elementary streams that allow to switch on the receiver only at selective times.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 1 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

4.2 Claim 7:

The same considerations as made for claim 1 also apply to claim 7, since claim 7 represents the equivalent subject-matter in terms of an apparatus claim.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 7 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

4.3 Claim 13:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/001077

Document **D3** discloses a method of forming a signal for transmission, the method comprising:

creating service information (see paragraphs 2.1 & 2.2); creating transmission parameter information including an indication of whether the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams (see paragraphs 2.1 & 2.2 in combination with paragraph 3); and including the service information on one level (see figure 1) with the transmission parameter on a lower level to form the signal (see figure 1).

The disclosure of **D3** differs from the subject-matter of **claim 13** that **D3** does not further specify **on which** level of the OSI layer the information is transmitted that includes the indication whether the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams. However, the skilled person being confronted with the task on which level to transmit the time-slicing indication would **obviously** opt for a lower level which ensures a more frequent indication avoiding latency and thus improving the power saving effect of time-slicing (see paragraph 5.1).

The subject-matter of claim 13 does therefore not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

4.4 Claim 17:

The same considerations as made for claim 13 also apply to claim 17, since claim 17 represents the equivalent subject-matter in terms of an apparatus claim.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 17 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

4.5 **Claim 19**:

Document **D3** discloses a transmission parameter signalling data signal comprising a predetermined number of data bits defined over consecutive orthogonal frequency division multiplex symbols (see paragraph 2.1 & 2.3), the data signal comprising at a predetermined location a group of one or more information bits having a state dependent on whether a signal to which the data signal relates carries time-sliced elementary streams (see paragraph 3).

The disclosure of **D3** differs from the subject-matter of **claim 19** that **D3** does not further specify at **which predetermined location a group of one or more information bits** indicate the time-sliced data. There is also no detailed disclosure whether the information bits are defined over consecutive OFDM symbols. However, this is considered a minor design feature.

The predetermined location of a group of one or more information bits is also obvious to the skilled person whishing to apply the principles of time slicing.

Therefore the subject-matter of claims 19 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

4.6 Dependent 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18, 20:

Dependent 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18, 20 do not add anything of inventive significance to any of the claims they refer to for the reason that their subject-matter is directly derivable from the disclosure of document D2:

- claims 2, 8: see paragraph 1, last two sections; paragraph 3; see also paragraph 2.3;
- claims 3, 12, 16, 18, 20: see paragraph 2.2;
- claims 6, 9: see argumentation concerning claims 13, 17 in above paragraphs 4.3 & 4.4;

The subject-matter of claims 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18, 20 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

4.7 Dependent claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 21-25:

Dependent claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 21-25 do not add anything of inventive significance to the claims they refer to for the reason that their subject-matter represents only minor design modifications which are obvious to the skilled person.

The subject-matter of claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 21-25 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

- 5. Inventive step objections based on document D4:
- 5.1 **Claim 1**:

Document **D4** discloses a method of operating a receiver, the method comprising (see figure 7):

decoding transmission parameter information from a signal (see "configuration portion" in paragraph [0014]); and determining from the decoded transmission parameter information if the signal carries time-sliced elementary streams portions of relevant data (see abstract; paragraph [0014]; claims 1-3).

The disclosure of document **D4** differs from the subject-matter of **claim 1** by the relevant data being in defined portions of the data frames while **claim 1** specifies the relevant data in the corresponding portions of the time-sliced elementary stream. However, **D4** also provides streaming technology when transmitting DAB frames with MPEG coding (see paragraph [0007]) since MPEG coding and Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) are common principles of both DAB and DVB. Distinguishing the portions of relevant data in the set of DAB frames results in the same kind of time-slicing of the data streams as in present **claim 1**.

Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

5.3 The same considerations as made for claim 1 also apply to claim 7, since claim 7 represents the equivalent subject-matter in terms of an apparatus claim.

Therefore the subject-matter of claim 7 does not involve an inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT.

5.4 Dependent claims 2, 8:

Dependent claims 2, 8 do not add anything of inventive significance to claims 1 and 7. The tuner and the receiver are powered down during the frame portions of non-relevant data as determined in the configuration portion which results in the disregarding of the signal in the event of a negative determination (see abstract; paragraph [0014]; claims 1-3).

The subject-matter of claims 2, 8 does therefore not involve an inventive step, Article

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/001077

33(3) PCT.